

**From:** [Rauscher, Jon](#)  
**To:** [Hayes, Mark](#); [Delgado, Eric](#); [Webster, Susan](#)  
**Cc:** [Turner, Philip](#); [Greenberg, Marc](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Dioxins - West Fertilizer  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 23, 2013 12:01:10 PM

---

I do not anticipate high levels of contamination in the samples. 8290 will give lower detection limits than 8280. I do anticipate that we will need the lower detection limits of 8290.

---

**From:** Hayes, Mark  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 23, 2013 11:40 AM  
**To:** Rauscher, Jon  
**Subject:** FW: Dioxins - West Fertilizer

fyi

---

**From:** Bordelon, David [David.Bordelon@WestonSolutions.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 23, 2013 10:25 AM  
**To:** Delgado, Eric; Lewellin, Jeff; Hayes, Mark; Moore, Gary  
**Subject:** FW: Dioxins - West Fertilizer

[See below.](#)

**David Bordelon**  
**Weston Solutions, Inc.**  
13702 Coursey Blvd, Bldg 7  
Baton Rouge, LA 70817  
(225) 297-5403  Direct  
(225) 772-7921 Cell  
[David.Bordelon@westonsolutions.com](mailto:David.Bordelon@westonsolutions.com)

---

**From:** Warr (Kettler), Kristie  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 23, 2013 11:17 AM  
**To:** Bordelon, David  
**Subject:** Re: Dioxins - West Fertilizer

The lab wants to be sure we choose the correct method. 8290 is equivalent to 1613 and does see in the ppt to ppq range. However, D/F analysis is not great for running dilutions. So if we go 8290 and the samples are contaminated, they are saying the data would not be very usable. So the question is do they expect to see D/F out there. We're there drums of chlorinated materials that are leading them to D/F analysis.

If we expect contamination, they said 8280 is more appropriate. I am getting RLs and pricing for both.

I am going to a lunch mtg. Text me if you need anything!

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 23, 2013, at 11:11 AM, "Bordelon, David" <[David.Bordelon@WestonSolutions.com](mailto:David.Bordelon@WestonSolutions.com)> wrote:

Question from Delgado:

DL need to be 10 part per trillion or less, can they meet.

Would be for every sample submitted to date.

What is the cost for the quickest TAT, what is that TAT.

Will give notice to proceed, don't start yet.

<image001.jpg>

**David Bordelon**

**Weston Solutions, Inc.**

13702 Coursey Blvd, Bldg 7

Baton Rouge, LA 70817

(225) 297-5403  Direct

(225) 772-7921 Cell

[David.Bordelon@westonsolutions.com](mailto:David.Bordelon@westonsolutions.com)

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\* ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED \*\*\*\*\*

This Email message contained an attachment named  
image001.jpg  
which may be a computer program. This attached computer  
program could  
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's  
computers,  
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses  
introduced  
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program  
attachments  
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was  
legitimate, you  
should contact the sender and request that they rename the

file name  
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment.  
After  
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed  
attachment, you can  
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at  
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

\*\*\*\*\* ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*